

2 Q. So when you were testifying  
 3 moments ago before Randy jumped in about that  
 4 little notation at the bottom, requesting  
 5 party --

6 A. Okay.

7 Q. -- all you said about it could  
 8 have been a guess?

9 A. I'm not sure. I've never seen  
 10 that before.

11 Q. In the course of the  
 12 investigation into the location of the David  
 13 Bush children could you tell me why it would  
 14 be that an attorney representing the victim  
 15 identified in the report was being looked at?

16 A. I don't know who you're referring  
 17 to.

18 MR. HENZES: Banik.  
 19 Do you know why Whisner  
 20 put Banik's information in there?

21 THE WITNESS: No.

22 BY MR. PURICELLI:

23 Q. Do you know why he was looking at  
 24 Christopher Bush's information?

25 A. No.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

2 Q. Okay. Do we still have your  
 3 transcript or did I take that?

4 A. I think you have it.

5 Q. Okay. If I recall correctly, you  
 6 told me when David Bush came into your office  
 7 the NCIC notification and the poster you  
 8 called Christopher Bush from your office, but  
 9 David Bush wasn't in the office.

10 Did I hear that correctly?

11 A. I don't believe he was. I think  
 12 he was out in the lobby.

13 Q. Did you tell Christopher Bush  
 14 when you talked to him on the telephone,  
 15 whether it was the first or second time, that  
 16 David Bush was in your office?

17 A. I don't recall. The first time,  
 18 no. I never even got that far.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. I know that for a fact.

21 Q. Okay. So let's go to the second  
 22 phone call?

23 A. I don't know.

24 Q. You don't know or you don't  
 25 recall?

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

167

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

2 MR. HENZES: Well,  
 3 considering he was in Bucks County on  
 4 the second phone call I don't think he  
 5 was in the office because October 24 was  
 6 the second phone call.

7 MR. PURICELLI:

8 Interesting point, but he just got done  
 9 saying it wasn't the first call.

10 MR. HENZES: He said it  
 11 was the first call.

12 BY MR. PURICELLI:

13 Q. Is that what you said?

14 THE WITNESS: The first  
 15 call he was not.

16 MR. HENZES: In the  
 17 office.

18 THE WITNESS: In the  
 19 office.

20 MR. HENZES: In the  
 21 office, office, office, office. He said  
 22 he was in the lobby.

23 MR. PURICELLI: I know  
 24 that.

25 MR. HENZES: Right.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

168

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

2 He said -- and he couldn't  
 3 have been in there the second time  
 4 because he was in Bucks County at that  
 5 time.

6 Right?

7 MR. PURICELLI: You'll  
 8 catch up with me eventually what I'm  
 9 thinking.

10 BY MR. PURICELLI:

11 Q. Do you recall what it was you,  
 12 quote, unquote, told your guys about the NCIC  
 13 entry?

14 A. Told them that the children were  
 15 entered.

16 Q. Okay. I'm using the term guys  
 17 because I read transcript and using the word  
 18 you used.

19 A. Troopers. Members. Guys.

20 Q. Is that all you told them; that  
 21 the children were entered?

22 A. That's all I can recall.

23 Q. And do you recall who these guys  
 24 were?

25 A. Members of the Mansfield station.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

2 Q. Okay. And their names would be?  
 3 A. Exactly which ones, I don't know,  
 4 unless you want me to list all the members of  
 5 the Mansfield station.

6 Q. No. I would prefer you to tell  
 7 me the ones you were talking to.

8 A. I don't know.

9 Q. So I don't have to depose the  
 10 entire barracks.

11 Q. Okay. We got through  
 12 that.

13 Q. Do you recall receiving  
 14 any correspondence or seeing any  
 15 correspondence in the investigation file from  
 16 John F. Cowley, the district attorney of  
 17 Tioga County?

18 A. Do I recall seeing any in this --

19 Q. Seeing --

20 A. No. I don't.

21 Q. Seeing or discussing with anyone  
 22 any correspondence from the district  
 23 attorney, John Cowley, from the Tioga County.

24 A. I talked to him in person. Yes.  
 25 I know Corporal Wheeler also talked to him.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

2 Q. Did he at any time tell you he  
 3 had communication with Lyle and Helga Bush?

4 A. I believe so.

5 Q. Okay. And you believe that based  
 6 on what?

7 A. I believe there's an attachment  
 8 to our report with a -- I think they wrote a  
 9 letter; if I remember correctly.

10 Q. And do you recall what that  
 11 letter was asking?

12 A. The specifics of it, no.

13 Q. Okay. If they were indicating  
 14 the children were missing, you'd have no  
 15 reason to dispute that?

16 A. No. I don't know what they  
 17 actually said.

18 Q. Have you ever talked to  
 19 Lieutenant Ignatz about anything to do with  
 20 this case?

21 Q. Either the missing  
 22 children or the BPR investigation or the NCIC  
 23 investigation?

24 A. First time I met Lieutenant  
 25 Ignatz was at his hearing, Detective Bush's

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

2 hearing.

3 Q. Have you talked to Corporal Shawn  
 4 Sankey ever?

5 A. Not that I recall.

6 Q. Okay. Do you recall having any  
 7 conversations with anybody at CLEAN in  
 8 regards to the CLEAN or NCIC entry of the  
 9 Bush children?

10 A. No, sir. I don't.

11 Q. You've already testified you've  
 12 had no communications at all with Captain  
 13 Hill, now Major Hill.

14 Q. Correct?

15 A. I've had communications with him.

16 Q. Other than normal business stuff.

17 A. Not that I can recall.

18 Q. Well, do you recall having any  
 19 discussion with Captain Hill, now Major Hill  
 20 about any approval or disapprovals by the  
 21 Tioga County District Attorney's Office in  
 22 regards to the David Bush complaint?

23 A. Did I have conversation with --  
 24 no. I don't recall any conversations  
 25 relative to what the district attorney said.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

2 Q. Did you tell anyone at any time  
 3 that the District Attorney's Office endorsed  
 4 the actions of the investigators in the  
 5 investigation of the Bushes' kids?

6 A. When we talked with our crime  
 7 lieutenant he had asked -- the first meeting  
 8 we had with him he had asked us if we had  
 9 contact with the district attorney and we  
 10 said yes and explained what his wishes were.

11 Q. Well, my question was did you  
 12 ever have any conversations with anybody that  
 13 stated that the District Attorney's Office  
 14 for Tioga endorsed the actions of the  
 15 investigators in Pennsylvania State Police  
 16 investigators in the missing children case?

17 A. It would only be possibly at his  
 18 hearing.

19 Q. Okay. Aside from that hearing.  
 20 Before that hearing.

21 A. I don't know.

22 Q. Okay. When I say before July 31,  
 23 2007 did you ever tell anybody that the  
 24 District Attorney's Office from Tioga County  
 25 endorsed the actions of the Pennsylvania

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

2 State Police investigators in the David Bush  
 3 complaint?

4 A. Not that I recall.

5 Q. Do you know the code of conduct  
 6 in the FR?

7 A. Am I familiar with it?

8 Q. Yes.

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And what is your understanding of  
 11 what the purpose of that is?

12 A. It puts out a guideline as to how  
 13 we are to behave and do our job.

14 Q. Okay. And do you know whether or  
 15 not it says that you are to treat people as  
 16 if they were families? Members of families.

17 A. I don't recall that exact  
 18 verbiage.

19 Q. Let me see if I can point you to  
 20 it.

21 MR. HENZES: Wait. You  
 22 said treat members as family?

23 MR. PURICELLI: Treat  
 24 people. Members is a classification  
 25 they call State Police.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

2 MR. HENZES: Well, you  
 3 said treat members as family.

4 MR. PURICELLI: Treat  
 5 people who come in looking for service  
 6 as families.

7 THE WITNESS: I don't --

8 MR. HENZES: That's  
 9 something different. You said treat  
 10 members as family.

11 MR. PURICELLI: Well, he  
 12 doesn't remember the verbiage. I'm  
 13 trying to get any actual notes on it so  
 14 I can point him to the actual verbiage  
 15 so we can get it right.

16 MR. HENZES: You said  
 17 members and members are people that work  
 18 there.

19 MR. PURICELLI: That is  
 20 true. We've come to an agreement on  
 21 that. All right.

22 MR. HENZES: And in this  
 23 day and age if it says treat members of  
 24 the public as family you may want to  
 25 change that.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

175

2 - - -  
 3 (Discussion held off the  
 4 record.)  
 5 - - -

6 BY MR. PURICELLI:

7 Q. I'm not going to be able to find  
 8 it for you.

9 All right. If I told you  
 10 that the records of the State Police show  
 11 Captain Hill, now Major Hill filing a memo on  
 12 August 1, 2007 on IAD investigation 2006-0692  
 13 limited as being unfounded would that help  
 14 refresh your memory as to when you received a  
 15 clearance?

16 A. I would assume that I would have  
 17 gotten it shortly after the dated letter.

18 Q. Okay. And that memo we were  
 19 talking about at length --

20 MR. HENZES: But does it  
 21 refresh his recollection as to when he  
 22 got it?

23 MR. PURICELLI: It assumes  
 24 it would be after that.

25 MR. HENZES: Yeah. But

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

176

2 your question was does that actually  
 3 refresh his recollection?

4 MR. PURICELLI: Yeah.  
 5 When he got it.

6 MR. HENZES: Yeah. But  
 7 the question is does that refresh your  
 8 recollection as to when you got it?

9 THE WITNESS: No.

10 MR. PURICELLI: Your point  
 11 is, Randy?

12 MR. HENZES: Well, your  
 13 point was you're asking does that  
 14 refresh his recollection as to when he  
 15 got it. That's a different question.

16 That question, by telling  
 17 you this does that give you an idea? He  
 18 says, no.

19 Sitting here today he  
 20 still has no recollection of getting it.  
 21 That's a question. You asked him did it  
 22 refresh his recollection.

23 MR. PURICELLI: Did you  
 24 hear the last part he assumed after  
 25 that?

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

2 MR. HENZES: No. He  
 3 assumed when it was over. He didn't  
 4 know when it was over and you're asking  
 5 him, you said, does did it refresh your  
 6 recollection.

7 BY MR. PURICELLI:

8 Q. All right. Now, we were  
 9 originally talking about a June 28, 2007  
 10 memo.

11 Weren't we?

12 A. Which memo are you referring to  
 13 now?

14 Q. The one we went to great length  
 15 discussion about requesting personnel  
 16 notation on the bottom and you didn't know if  
 17 it was for the attachments were being  
 18 requested for litigation or the BPR  
 19 investigation. I heard all that right.

20 Correct?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. All right. I don't need to go  
 23 through all that again.

24 A. No.

25 Q. And I thought I heard you tell me

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

2 that you assumed it was for litigation  
 3 because the BPR investigation was long over,  
 4 and I think that was the exact words you  
 5 used, long over as of June 28, 2007.

6 Do you recall saying that?

7 A. I believe I said I believe the  
 8 complaint was from January. I would assume  
 9 that the BPR would have been over.

10 Q. All right. So can we agree then  
 11 if -- I'm going to show it to you. We'll  
 12 mark it so we're not living in a vacuum here.

13 - - -  
 14 (Exhibit Tripp-3, marked  
 15 for identification.)

16 - - -  
 17 BY MR. PURICELLI:

18 Q. I'm showing you Tripp-3. I'm  
 19 going to represent to you this is a copy of a  
 20 document I took off the disk that we all know  
 21 so affectionately now. I won't purport it to be  
 22 saying it's accurate or anything other than  
 23 this is what I got. We'll find out from  
 24 Captain Hill what the story is. Major Hill.

25 And it's dated August 1,

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

2 2007.

3 Correct?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And it shows in the enclosure the  
 6 documents which reference stuff from Dennis  
 7 C. Hile.

8 Correct?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. And that was the person you  
 11 talked to about your investigation.

12 Correct?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay. And it references a  
 15 complaint on 7/31/07.

16 Correct?

17 MR. HENZES:

18 Correspondence.

19 BY MR. PURICELLI:

20 Q. Correspondence. Correspondence  
 21 to complainant.

22 MR. HENZES: Right.

23 BY MR. PURICELLI:

24 Q. Okay. And then it says Newtown  
 25 Township on line three supervisors.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

179

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

2 Do you see that?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Okay. Correspondence dated  
 5 8/1/07 to Sergeant Joseph A. Tripp.

6 Correct?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. That's you.

9 Right?

10 A. That is me.

11 Q. Okay. Assuming Captain Hill is  
 12 going to tell me that correspondence dated  
 13 8/1/07 is your clearance date letter, okay,  
 14 which coincides with the finding, can we  
 15 agree then that the June 28, 2007 memo, okay,  
 16 does not mean that the investigation against  
 17 you had been long over?

18 A. I would like to know the date I  
 19 was interviewed by Lieutenant Hile because  
 20 that was the last contact I had.

21 Q. If you want you can look through  
 22 the disk. I have no problem.

23 A. That's all right. I believe that  
 24 my interview would have been long before  
 25 June 28, 2007.

180

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

2 Q. But the investigation from your  
3 experience with the State Police is over when  
4 you receive a clearance or charges.

5 Correct?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. Okay. And could we also be  
8 correct that as of August 1 you had not  
9 received either?

10 And that's 2007, mind you.  
11 Either a clearance or  
12 charges.

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Okay. And so can we also agree  
15 then that the June 28, 2007 memo that's  
16 attached to the last page of Ignatz-5, okay,  
17 cannot support a belief that your  
18 investigation had been long over?

19 The investigation being  
20 the Complaint into --

21 A. I disagree.

22 Q. Okay. And what can you tell me  
23 factually what can you tell me to support the  
24 reason you disagree?

25 MR. HENZES: You just

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

2 asked him his belief that it was over.  
3 That's a different question.

4 BY MR. PURICELLI:

5 Q. Okay. Well, factually tell me  
6 what you rely on in forming that belief.

7 A. When you look at the dates of  
8 Major Rice concurred with, I'm assuming,  
9 Captain Hill on 7/21, July 21 of '07. So  
10 Captain Hill would have had to receive the  
11 investigation, make the determination,  
12 contact the major and then by the time this  
13 gets kicked out and the complaint was January  
14 of '07.

15 Q. I believe it's January 15 on the  
16 bottom.

17 A. January something of '07. Sure.  
18 And I would -- without looking, once I was  
19 interviewed, I was done.

20 Q. You were done all you could do.

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. Didn't mean the investigation was  
23 over.

24 Correct?

25 A. Correct.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

183

2 Q. All right.

3 A. But as far as I'm concerned it's  
4 over. Once he's done interviewing me it's  
5 over.

6 Q. Well, if the investigation led to  
7 charges it wouldn't be over for you.

8 Would it?

9 A. Well, commonsense led me to  
10 believe there would be no charges from that.

11 Q. I understand, but that wasn't my  
12 question.

13 If you were, in fact,  
14 charged it wouldn't be over.

15 Would it?

16 A. Nope.

17 MR. HENZES: Well, it  
18 would have to be over. If there's  
19 charges, the investigation is over.

20 MR. PURICELLI: Let me do  
21 my deposition. Make your argument --

22 MR. HENZES: I mean, how  
23 would they --

24 MR. PURICELLI: Randy.

25 MR. HENZES: The

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

184

2 investigation would be over. They made  
3 a determination. The investigation is  
4 over. If they determine he did  
5 something wrong, the investigation is  
6 still over.

7 MR. PURICELLI: Really?

8 BY MR. PURICELLI:

9 Q. Did you know it was over?

10 A. Like I said, my belief and  
11 assumption would be when he was done  
12 interviewing me I was done.

13 Q. Your belief was based only on  
14 your thinking, not on anything somebody told  
15 you.

16 Correct?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. And did Major, at that time  
19 Captain Hill call you and say before he made  
20 his conclusion on August 1, oh, don't worry,  
21 it's over?

22 A. No.

23 But you asked me for my  
24 opinion.

25 Q. That's true, but your attorney

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

2 over there likes to throw a lot of things in  
 3 that makes me have to ask all these  
 4 questions.

5 Now, after your interview  
 6 is it true they could have interviewed you  
 7 again if they found something?

8 They being whoever the  
 9 investigator was.

10 A. Very well could have.

11 Q. They could have look verifying  
 12 what you were saying was true or not true.

13 Couldn't they?

14 A. Sure.

15 Q. So can we agree that after your  
 16 interview, whenever it was, the investigation  
 17 wasn't over?

18 A. Again, no. I'm not going to  
 19 agree with you.

20 Q. Okay. Did anybody tell you not  
 21 to worry about that investigation?

22 A. Nope.

23 Q. But you knew it was over.

24 A. My belief --

25 Q. Am I correct?

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

2 A. -- it was over.  
 3 Q. Okay. And the only person you  
 4 talked to was the lieutenant to make that --  
 5 A. I talked to Lieutenant Hile.  
 6 Q. Let me get the question out.  
 7 -- to make that belief?

8 A. Yes.  
 9 Q. Okay. Did you talk to anybody  
 10 from Virginia in regards to the David Bush  
 11 matter other than the Virginia State Police?  
 12 A. I'm not sure who I talked to.

13 Q. So the answer to that is, yes,  
 14 you did talk to somebody?

15 A. From Virginia?

16 Q. Yes.

17 A. Yes.

18 You said other than the  
 19 Virginia State Police.

20 Q. I know what I said.  
 21 Who do you think you  
 22 talked to other than the Virginia State  
 23 Police about the Bush matter?

24 A. Talked to, obviously, Detective  
 25 Lawson. That's my writing.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

2 Q. When I asked you if you  
 3 recognized that name before --  
 4 A. I didn't until I went through the  
 5 paperwork there.

6 Q. Thank you.

7 So paperwork does help you  
 8 remember things.

9 Detective Lawson is from  
 10 where?

11 A. I'm not sure.

12 Q. Is that your handwriting on that  
 13 document?

14 A. Absolutely.

15 Q. Okay. What did you write?

16 A. Detective Lawson. Phone number.  
 17 FAX number. And removing from NCIC.

18 Q. Okay. Now, I didn't write it. I  
 19 don't know anything about it. Tell me what  
 20 that tells you, all your notes.

21 A. Again, as I testified to earlier,  
 22 I FAXed information they requested to them.

23 Q. To the Virginia State Police?

24 A. Could be Richmond City. Could be  
 25 Virginia State Police. I don't know.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

187

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

2 Q. So do you remember talking to  
 3 anybody from the Richmond City Police  
 4 Department?

5 A. I don't recall. He could be from  
 6 Richmond City. I don't remember.

7 Q. Did you tell Detective Lawson  
 8 that what you were FAXing to him was a  
 9 custody order?

10 A. No. I don't recall any of that.

11 Q. Do you have any reason to believe  
 12 Detective Lawson is untruthful?

13 A. No. Don't know him from Adam.

14 MR. PURICELLI: Can we  
 15 mark this?

16 - - -

17 (Exhibit Tripp-4, marked  
 18 for identification.)

19 - - -

20 BY MR. PURICELLI:

21 Q. I'm showing you what's been  
 22 marked Tripp-4 and draw your attention to the  
 23 second page, fifth paragraph down.

24 MR. HENZES: On the first  
 25 page or second page?

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

188

2 MR. PURICELLI: Second  
 3 page.  
 4 MR. HENZES: Okay.  
 5 MR. PURICELLI: That's  
 6 what I said.

7 BY MR. PURICELLI:

8 Q. I represent to you that these are  
 9 notes received from Lawson in the course of  
 10 this investigation and they were represented  
 11 to the court as his notes of his events in  
 12 the David Bush case.

13 On the second page --

14 A. Yeah.

15 Q. -- fifth paragraph he attributes  
 16 contact to you.

17 A. Okay.

18 Q. Correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. He says -- he refers to you as a  
 21 trooper, okay, on the last sentence and he  
 22 says you FAXed him a copy of a custody order  
 23 and said that you reported that Serene,  
 24 Mrs. Bush, wanted her ex-husband, meaning  
 25 David Bush, arrested, but there is no

2 probable cause, PC, for same.

3 Do you see that?

4 A. Yeah. I see it.

5 Q. Did you tell that to Detective  
 6 Lawson?

7 A. I don't recall that at all.

8 Q. Okay. Are you disputing that you  
 9 said that?

10 A. I don't recall that at all.

11 Q. I know, but I'm being thorough  
 12 here.

13 Are you disputing you said  
 14 it?

15 A. Why would I -- yeah. I would  
 16 dispute that.

17 Q. Okay. So you're saying Detective  
 18 Lawson's notes are inaccurate to your phone  
 19 conversation with him.

20 Is that true?

21 A. Yeah. I don't recall saying  
 22 that. I don't understand why that would even  
 23 be said.

24 Q. Okay. He doesn't reference in  
 25 here anything about an expired protection

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

2 from abuse order, though.

3 Does he?

4 A. I believe it does.

5 Q. Okay.

6 A. He stated that the mother's last  
 7 court order for custody of the children  
 8 expired January of 2006.

9 Q. Okay. Well, protection from  
 10 abuse order isn't a custody order.

11 Is it?

12 MR. HENZES: It says he  
 13 stated the mother's last custody order  
 14 for full custody of the children expired  
 15 on January of 2006.

16 MR. PURICELLI: Well, what  
 17 was my question, Mr. Henzes?

18 I was talking about a PFA.  
 19 THE WITNESS: I believe it  
 20 was all wrapped into one.

21 BY MR. PURICELLI:

22 Q. You believe.

23 MR. HENZES: It actually  
 24 is.

25 MR. PURICELLI: It

191

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

2 actually isn't.

3 MR. HENZES: It is.

4 Read it.

5 MR. PURICELLI: I know  
 6 what they say.

7 MR. HENZES: Do you want  
 8 it?

9 MR. PURICELLI: Go ahead.  
 10 MR. HENZES: Did you see

11 this?

12 One you didn't see this  
 13 one.

14 MR. PURICELLI: Go ahead  
 15 and give me this one, Randy.

16 MR. HENZES: All right.  
 17 You can move on to your next question.

18 MR. PURICELLI: No. I'll  
 19 wait for it because I want to see this  
 20 expired January 2006 full custody order.

21 MR. HENZES: Here we go.  
 22 Final court order.

23 Since you guys are real  
 24 good on this do you know what 6108 of  
 25 the Act is?

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

192

2 MR. PURICELLI: 6108 is  
 3 the Domestic Violence Act. It's a PFA  
 4 action, not a custody action, which is  
 5 23.

6 MR. HENZES: At the risk  
 7 of embarrassing you in front of your  
 8 clients, here's the final court order,  
 9 page --

10 MR. PURICELLI: Give me  
 11 the whole thing.

12 MR. HENZES: Page two is  
 13 PFA is granted.

14 MR. PURICELLI: This is  
 15 the PFA order.

16 MR. HENZES: No. It's  
 17 not. And then it says the custody order  
 18 is in there. All the custody stuff is  
 19 in there.

20 BY MR. PURICELLI:

21 Q. This is what your attorney  
 22 represents to be a custody order?

23 Could you read for the  
 24 record --

25 MR. HENZES: No. It says

2 the full court order.

3 MR. PURICELLI: Do we  
 4 agree, Randy, that this says, caption  
 5 for the order, protection from abuse?

6 MR. HENZES: No. It says  
 7 full order of court.

8 MR. PURICELLI: Yes.

9 MR. HENZES: No. The  
 10 order of the court says final order of  
 11 court.

12 MR. PURICELLI: Randy --  
 13 MR. HENZES: This is the

14 order of the court, Brian. And it then  
 15 gives the PFA and then it says:  
 16 Defendant shall have no physical  
 17 custody.

18 MR. PURICELLI: Yeah. I  
 19 know. It's a PFA.

20 MR. HENZES: It says  
 21 custody of the following minor children  
 22 shall be to the plaintiff. Read the  
 23 first paragraph.

24 MR. PURICELLI: Uh-huh.  
 25 Uh-huh.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

195

2 What is the order under,  
 3 Randy?

4 MR. HENZES: It's under  
 5 final order of court.

6 MR. PURICELLI: For what  
 7 action?

8 MR. HENZES: It says final  
 9 order of court.

10 MR. PURICELLI: For what  
 11 action, Randy.

12 MR. HENZES: For  
 13 protection of abuse.

14 MR. PURICELLI: Exactly.

15 MR. HENZES: It doesn't  
 16 say this is -- it tells you what it is.

17 MR. PURICELLI: No. You  
 18 argue that in a court of law, Counselor.

19 BY MR. PURICELLI:

20 Q. Now, Sergeant Tripp, the State  
 21 Police are charged to know the Protection

22 From Abuse Act.

23 Aren't they?

24 A. They're what?

25 Q. Charged to know the Protection

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

196

2 From Abuse Act.

3 Aren't they?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And, in fact, they get protection  
 6 from abuse orders all the time.

7 Correct?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. In fact, now those orders are put  
 10 in a central location and you have access to  
 11 them throughout the state through the  
 12 electronic system.

13 Isn't that true?

14 A. That is correct.

15 Q. Okay. Now, do you get custody  
 16 orders if one parent goes to court and tries  
 17 to just get custody of their children  
 18 ordinary without a protection order?

19 Do you get copies of those  
 20 orders?

21 A. Custody orders? No.

22 Q. All right. Isn't it true you

23 FAXed to Richmond City Police Department an  
 24 expired protection from abuse order that  
 25 dealt also with temporary custody?

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173

Bucks County Court Reporters  
 215.348.1173